

Reverse Hölder, Minkowski, And Hanner Inequalities For Complex Matrices

Victoria Chayes
Department of Mathematics
Rutgers University
vc362@math.rutgers.edu
<https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~vc362/>



Abstract

It has been of great interest in recent years to extend known L^p inequalities to general complex matrices under the p -norms $\|X\|_p = \text{Tr}[(X^*X)^{p/2}]^{1/p}$, where $p \geq 1$. It is well known that Hölder and Minkowski inequalities $\|X + Y\|_p \leq \|X\|_p + \|Y\|_p$ and $\|XY\|_1 \leq \|X\|_p \|Y\|_q$ (for conjugate indices p, q) hold. However, we can also define $\|X\|_s = \text{Tr}[(X^*X)^{s/2}]^{1/s}$ for $s < 1$. For functions, it is known $\|fg\|_1 \geq \|f\|_s \|g\|_{s/(s-1)}$ provided $g(x) = 0$ on a set of measure 0, and that $\|f + g\|_s \geq \|f\|_s + \|g\|_s$ when $f, g \geq 0$. We establish the previously unstudied matrix case for these Reverse Hölder and Reverse Minkowski inequalities. Finally, we comment on how Hanner's Inequality comparing $(\|f + g\|_p^p + \|f - g\|_p^p)$ to $(\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p)^p + \|f\|_p - \|g\|_p$ can be extended both to functions and matrices for the $s < 1$ range, and certain related matrix singular value rearrangement inequalities that were previously only known in the $1 \leq p \leq 2$ range that can both be extended to the $2 \leq p \leq 3$ range and the $-1 < s < 1$ range. This combines new research with research in the recent paper <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.04032>.

Majorization

We use the technique of majorization for many of the proofs. Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with components labeled in descending order $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n$ and $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_n$. Then \mathbf{b} weakly majorizes \mathbf{a} , written $\mathbf{a} \prec_w \mathbf{b}$, when

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n \quad (1)$$

and majorizes $\mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{b}$ when the final inequality is an equality.

Lemma 0.1. (Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya [1] [2]; Tomić, Weyl [6] [7]) Suppose $\mathbf{a} \prec_w \mathbf{b}$. Then for any function $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is increasing and convex on the domain containing all elements of \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(a_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(b_i). \quad (2)$$

If $\mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{b}$, the 'increasing' requirement can be dropped.

Lemma 0.2. Schur [5]; Mirsky [4] Let $X \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a self-adjoint matrix with diagonal elements $\mathbf{x} := (x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn})$. Then

$$\mathbf{x} \prec \lambda(X) \quad (3)$$

Lemma 0.3. (Horn [3]) Let $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\sigma_{\uparrow}(A)\sigma_{\downarrow}(B) \prec_w \sigma(AB) \prec_w \sigma_{\uparrow}(A)\sigma_{\uparrow}(B) \quad (4)$$

Reverse Hölder

Theorem 1.1. Let $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ with B invertible, and $0 < s < 1$, and $r = \frac{s}{s-1}$. Then

$$\|AB\|_1 \geq \|A\|_s \|B\|_r \quad (5)$$

Proof. We directly calculate

$$\|AB\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(AB) \quad (6)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(A)\sigma_{n+1-i}(B) \quad (7)$$

$$\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(A)^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{n+1-i}(B)^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \quad (8)$$

$$= \|A\|_s \|B\|_r. \quad (9)$$

□

Dual Representation

Theorem 2.1. Let $A > 0$, and $s < 1$ with r conjugate to s . Then

$$\|A\|_s = \inf_{B > 0, \|B\|_r = 1} \text{Tr}[AB], \quad (10)$$

Proof. For all $B > 0$, $\|B\|_r = 1$, we have

$$\text{Tr}[AB] \geq \|A\|_s \|B\|_r = \|A\|_s. \quad (11)$$

The infimum is reached by

$$B = \|A\|_s^{1-s} A^{s-1}, \quad (12)$$

giving $\text{Tr}[AB] = \|A\|_s$. □

Reverse Hanner

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_s^s + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_s^s \leq (\|\mathbf{x}\|_s + \|\mathbf{y}\|_s)^s + \left| \|\mathbf{x}\|_s - \|\mathbf{y}\|_s \right|^s, \quad (13)$$

for $0 < s < 1$, with the inequality reversing when $s < 0$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $C, D \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ with $C + D, C - D \geq 0$. Then

$$\|C + D\|_s^s + \|C - D\|_s^s \leq (\|C\|_s + \|D\|_s)^s + (\|C\|_s - \|D\|_s)^s \quad (14)$$

for $0 < s < 1$, with the inequality reversing when $s < 0$.

We sketch the main proof concepts for Theorem 4.1 and 4.2:

Proof. Assume $\|\mathbf{x}\|_s \geq \|\mathbf{y}\|_s$. Then we can expand the Taylor series

$$(\|\mathbf{x}\|_s + r\|\mathbf{y}\|_s)^s + \|\mathbf{x}\|_s - r\|\mathbf{y}\|_s = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_{2k}}{(2k)!} \|\mathbf{y}\|_s^{2k} \|\mathbf{x}\|_s^{s-2k} r^{2k}. \quad (15)$$

We compare partial sums of this series to the derivatives of

$$F(r) = \|\mathbf{x} + r\mathbf{y}\|_s^s + \|\mathbf{x} - r\mathbf{y}\|_s^s = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i + ry_i|^s + |x_i - ry_i|^s. \quad (16)$$

to find $F(r)|_{r=1} \leq S_{2k}(r)|_{r=1}$ for all k when $0 < s < 1$, reversing when $s < 0$.

We prove Theorem 4.2 the $0 < s < 1$ case. The function

$$(x + y)^s + |x - y|^s \quad (17)$$

is strictly decreasing in x for fixed y when $x \leq y$, and strictly increasing in x when $x > y$.

We consider $C + D, C - D$ in the basis where C is diagonal. Then as $C + D_{\text{Diag}}, C - D_{\text{Diag}} \geq 0$, we have $\|C\|_s \geq \|D_{\text{Diag}}\|_s \geq \|D\|_s$. Treating $\|D_{\text{Diag}}\|_s$ and the x of Equation (17), and applying first majorization then Theorem 4.1

$$\|C + D\|_s^s + \|C - D\|_s^s \leq \|C + D_{\text{Diag}}\|_s^s + \|C - D_{\text{Diag}}\|_s^s \quad (18)$$

$$\leq (\|C\|_s + \|D_{\text{Diag}}\|_s)^s + (\|C\|_s - \|D_{\text{Diag}}\|_s)^s \quad (19)$$

$$\leq (\|C\|_s + \|D\|_s)^s + (\|C\|_s - \|D\|_s)^s \quad (20)$$

The argument reverses for $s < 0$. □

Singular Value Rearrangement

Theorem 5.1. Let $C, D \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ with $C \geq |D| \geq 0$ and $\sigma_n(C) \geq \sigma_1(D)$. Then

$$\|C + D\|_s^s + \|C - D\|_s^s \leq \|\sigma_{\uparrow}(C) + \sigma_{\downarrow}(D)\|_s^s + \|\sigma_{\uparrow}(C) - \sigma_{\downarrow}(D)\|_s^s \quad (21)$$

for $-1 < s < 0$ and $1 \leq s \leq 2$, with the inequality reversing for $0 < s < 1$ and $2 < s < 3$.

Theorem 5.2. Let $C, D \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ with $C \geq D \geq 0$. Then

$$\|C + D\|_s^s + \|C - D\|_s^s \geq \|\sigma_{\uparrow}(C) + \sigma_{\uparrow}(D)\|_s^s + \|\sigma_{\uparrow}(C) - \sigma_{\uparrow}(D)\|_s^s \quad (22)$$

for $-1 < s < 0$ and $1 \leq s \leq 2$, with the inequality reversing for $0 < s < 1$ and $2 < s < 3$.

For each of the theorems, the conditions on C and D are necessary.

We sketch the proofs of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2:

Proof. A positive matrix X has the following integral representations, with c being a positive normalization constant depending on s or p :

$$X^s = c_s \int_0^{\infty} t^s \left(\frac{1}{t + X} \right) dt \quad X^s = c_s \int_0^{\infty} t^s \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t + X} \right) dt \quad (23)$$

for $-1 < s < 0$ and $0 < s < 1$ respectively, and

$$X^p = c_p \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{X}{t^2} + \frac{1}{t + X} - \frac{1}{t} \right) t^p dt \quad X^p = c_p \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{X^2}{t^3} - \frac{X}{t^2} + \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t + X} \right) t^{p+1} dt \quad (24)$$

for $1 < p < 2$ and $2 < p < 3$ respectively. We recover $\|X\|_s^s$ or $\|X\|_p^p$ by taking the trace.

We let $H = C + t, K = H^{-1/2} D H^{-1/2}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{t + C + D} + \frac{1}{t + C - D} = H^{-1/2} (I + K)^{-1} H^{-1/2} + H^{-1/2} (I - K)^{-1} H^{-1/2} = H^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K^{2k} r^{2k} \right) H^{-1/2} \quad (25)$$

We now can apply majorization relationships to Line (25) to see that

$$\frac{1}{t + \sigma_{\uparrow}(C) + \sigma_{\uparrow}(D)} + \frac{1}{t + \sigma_{\uparrow}(C) - \sigma_{\uparrow}(D)} \leq \frac{1}{t + C + D} + \frac{1}{t + C - D} \leq \frac{1}{t + \sigma_{\uparrow}(C) + \sigma_{\downarrow}(D)} + \frac{1}{t + \sigma_{\uparrow}(C) - \sigma_{\downarrow}(D)} \quad (26)$$

Using the integral representations of the previous slide, we establish the desired inequalities. □

Reverse Minkowski

Theorem 3.1. Let $A, B > 0$, and $s < 1$. Then

$$\|A + B\|_s \geq \|A\|_s + \|B\|_s \quad (27)$$

Proof. We use the dual representation to directly calculate

$$\|A + B\|_s = \text{Tr}[(A + B)Y] \quad (28)$$

$$= \text{Tr}[AY] + \text{Tr}[BY] \quad (29)$$

$$\geq \|A\|_s \|Y\|_r + \|B\|_s \|Y\|_r \quad (30)$$

$$= \|A\|_s + \|B\|_s. \quad (31)$$

□

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Professor Eric A Carlen for suggesting the problem and providing an introduction to the general subject.

This research was funded by the NDSEG Fellowship, Class of 2017.

Bibliography

References

- [1] Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E., Pólya, G.: Some simple inequalities satisfied by convex functions. Messenger Math. **58**, 145–152 (1929). URL <https://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/10009422169/en/>
- [2] Hardy, G.H., Pólya, G.: Inequalities. Cambridge : Cambridge University Press (1934). Bibliography: p. [300]-314
- [3] Horn, A.: On the singular values of a product of completely continuous operators. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **36**(7), 374 (1950)
- [4] Mirsky, L.: Inequalities for normal and hermitian matrices. Duke Math. J. **24**(4), 591–599 (1957). DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-57-02467-5. URL <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-57-02467-5>
- [5] Schur, I.: Über eine klasse von mittelbildungen mit anwendungen auf die determinantentheorie. Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Mathematischen Gesellschaft **22**(9-20), 51 (1923)
- [6] Tomić, M.: Théoreme de gauss relatif au centre de gravité et son application. Bull. Soc. Math. Phys. Serbie **1**, 31–40 (1949)
- [7] Weyl, H.: Inequalities between two types of eigenvalues of a linear transformation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **35**(7), 408–411 (1949)